

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,981	10/12/2001	Klaus Gottschall	077251-0102	6378
75	90 03/07/2002			
Richad C Peet			EXAMINER	
	rbour Suite 500		LIPMAN, BERNARD	
3000 K Street NW Washington, DC 20007-5109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1713	6
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AS-6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/856,981	GOTTSCHALL, KLAUS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bernard Lipman	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-15</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-15</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirem	ent.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• , ,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ c	lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial No. 09/856,981

Art Unit 1713

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-6, 9 and 11-13, drawn to methods of treating polymers, the polymer derivatives produced therefrom and the process of bonding using said polymers, classified in Class 525, subclass 326.1+.
- II. Claims 10, 14 and 15, drawn to compounds, classified in Class 548, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The derivatives, process and products of Group I and the compounds of Group II represent two distinct inventions with different special technical features not present in the other group in each case. The method of treating the polymers as well as the polymers produced therefrom and the process of using said polymers nowhere relies on specific compound structures which are only representative of a few of even the narrow genus of compounds used in the process. The special technical feature, therefore, of the process and derivative polymers cannot reside in the compounds themselves since the process and derivative polymers are not restricted to said compounds. Having separate special technical features determines that there is lack of unity between the two groups of claims and restriction is, therefore, proper.

Serial No. 09/856,981

Art Unit 1713

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Claims 1-6, 9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 2. 112, both first and second paragraphs, in being broader than one of ordinary skill in the art is enabled, from the disclosure, to practice the invention and as being indefinite as to scope. disclosure gives one of ordinary skill in the art certain information with regard to reacting specific polymers or groups of polymers with specific functionalizing agents in a variety of different reaction orders. The polymers are of specific structure and the reactants are also of specific structure. is necessarily true insofar as one of ordinary skill in the art needs information with regard to parameters and conditions of reaction in order to effectively produce useful products from any given reaction. The claims are to such a broad scope of potential polymers, the functional groups on said polymers not even, for the most part, identified, and an unlimited number of both reactive and "derivatized" reactants. The reagents are classified as "activating" or "derivatizing" reagents. actual difference between these two is also not clear. multitude of possible meanings for these terms, let alone the number of potential combinations of polymers and reagents, renders the claims both indefinite as to scope and far broader

Art Unit 1713

than one of ordinary skill in the art is enabled from the disclosure to practice the invention. Claims are, therefore, properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, both first and second paragraphs.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 has been made in this application in order to expedite prosecution. Consideration of the prior art is held in abeyance pending resolution of the requirement for restriction herein.

B. Lipman:cdc

(703) 308-0661

March 6, 2002

BERNARD LIPMAN EXAMINER

ART UNIT 15517/2